

Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement for the *Journal of Contextual Economics / Schmollers Jahrbuch* (May 2016)

[Our publication ethics and publication malpractice statement is mainly based on the Code of Conduct and Best-Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors available [here](#). Our statement on publication ethics has been strongly influenced by and drawn from those of the European Open-Access Publishing Platform for Psychology, available [here](#).]

### **Editors' responsibilities**

#### *Publication decisions*

The editors of the *Journal of Contextual Economics/Schmollers Jahrbuch* are responsible for deciding which of the papers submitted to the journal will be published. The editors will evaluate manuscripts without regard to the authors' race, color, gender, age, disability, veteran status, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political views. The decision will be based on the paper's importance, originality and clarity, and the study's validity and its relevance to the journal's scope. Current legal requirements regarding libel, copyright infringement, and plagiarism should also be considered.

#### *Confidentiality*

The editors and any editorial staff must not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher, as appropriate.

#### *Disclosure and Conflicts of Interest*

Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted paper will not be used by the editors or the members of the editorial board for their own research purposes without the author's explicit written consent.

### **Reviewers' responsibilities**

#### *Contribution to editorial decisions*

The peer-reviewing process assists the editors and the editorial board in making editorial decisions and may also serve the author in improving the paper.

#### *Promptness*

Any selected referee who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or knows that its prompt review will be impossible should notify the editors and withdraw from the review process.

#### *Confidentiality*

Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. They must not be disclosed, cited, or discussed with others except as authorized by the editors.

#### *Standards of objectivity*

Reviews should be conducted objectively. Personal criticism of the author is inappropriate. Referees should express their views clearly with supporting arguments.

#### *Acknowledgement of sources*

Reviewers should identify cases in which relevant published work referred to in the paper has not been cited in the reference section. They should point out whether observations or arguments derived from other publications are accompanied by the respective source. Reviewers will notify the editors of any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published paper of which they have personal knowledge.

#### *Disclosure and conflict of interest*

Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions associated with the papers.

### **Authors' duties**

#### *Reporting standards*

Authors of original research reports should present an accurate account of the work performed as well as an objective discussion of its significance. Underlying data should be represented accurately in the paper. A paper should contain sufficient detail and references to permit others to replicate the work. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behavior and are unacceptable.

#### *Originality, plagiarism and acknowledgement of sources*

Authors will submit only entirely original works, and will appropriately cite or quote the work and/or words of others. Publications that have been influential in determining the nature of the reported work should also be cited.

#### *Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication*

In general, papers describing essentially the same research should not be published in more than one journal. Submitting the same paper to more than one journal constitutes unethical publishing behavior and is unacceptable.

Manuscripts which have been published as copyrighted material elsewhere cannot be submitted. In addition, manuscripts under review by the journal should not be resubmitted to copyrighted publications. However, by submitting a manuscript, the author(s) retain the rights to the published material. Any usage outside of the narrow limits of the copyright law requires the permission of the publisher Duncker & Humblot GmbH. Please note that any copy is for personal use only. The transfer to third parties by any means, e.g. through publishing on homepages or in repositories as well as through spreading via mailing lists and newsletters, is expressly prohibited.

### *Authorship of the paper*

Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. All those who have made significant contributions should be listed as co-authors. The corresponding author ensures that all contributing co-authors and no uninvolved persons are included in the author list. The corresponding author will also verify that all co-authors have approved the final version of the paper and have agreed to its submission for publication.

### *Disclosure and conflicts of interest*

All authors should include a statement disclosing any financial or other substantive conflicts of interest that may be construed to influence the results or interpretation of their manuscript. All sources of financial support for the project should be disclosed.

### *Fundamental errors in published works*

When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published work, it is the author's obligation to promptly notify the journal editor or publisher and to cooperate with the editors to retract or correct the paper in form of an erratum.