**Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement**

Our publication ethics and publication malpractice statement is mainly based on the Code of Conduct and Best - Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors (Committee on Publication Ethics, 2011) and Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement (PsychOpen, 2016)

**Editors' responsibilities**

**Publication decisions**
The editor is responsible for deciding which of the papers submitted to the journal will be published. The editor will evaluate manuscripts without regard to the authors' race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy. The decision will be based on the paper’s importance, originality and clarity, and the study’s validity and its relevance to the journal's scope. Current legal requirements regarding libel, copyright infringement, and plagiarism should also be considered. In particular, the editor will guard against plagiarism through a routinely-performed computerized check.

**Confidentiality**
The editor and any editorial staff must not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher, as appropriate.

**Disclosure and conflicts of interest**
Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted paper will not be used by the editor or the members of the editorial board for their own research purposes without the author's explicit written consent.

**Promptness**
The editor ensures a rapid decision-making process to allow a timely publication of research results, either in Credit and Capital Markets or, if the paper is not accepted, in another potential outlet. If a submitted paper evidently does not fit into the journal, fast processing is achieved through an immediate desk rejection without a further referee process.

**Reviewers' responsibilities**

**Contribution to editorial decisions**
The peer-reviewing process assists the editor and the editorial board in making editorial decisions and may also serve the author in improving the paper. The referee should not only give an overall assessment but also specific suggestions for improvement with regard to, e.g., structure, motivation, interpretation, methodology, and other more technical details

**Promptness**
Any selected referee who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or knows that its prompt review will be impossible should notify the editor and withdraw from the review process.
Confidentiality
Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. They must not be disclosed to or discussed with others except as authorized by the editor.

Standards of objectivity
Reviews should be conducted objectively. Personal criticism of the author is inappropriate. Referees should express their views clearly with supporting arguments.

Acknowledgement of sources
Reviewers should identify cases in which relevant published work referred to in the paper has not been cited in the reference section. They should point out whether observations or arguments derived from other publications are accompanied by the respective source. And they should notify the editor of any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published paper of which they have personal knowledge.

Disclosure and conflict of interest
Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions associated with the papers.

Authors' duties

Reporting standards
Authors of original research reports should present an accurate account of the work performed as well as an objective discussion of its significance. Underlying data should be represented accurately in the paper. Whenever possible (see below), a paper should contain sufficient detail and references to permit others to replicate the work.

Data access and retention
Authors could be asked to provide the raw data of their study together with the paper for editorial review and should be prepared to make the data publicly available if practicable. In any event, authors should ensure accessibility of such data to other competent professionals provided that the confidentiality of the participants can be protected and legal rights concerning proprietary data do not preclude their release. However, we are aware that much very relevant research in business and economics is only possible if the researchers gain access to propriety data. If, for such reasons, data cannot be made publicly available, the authors should diligently inform about the reasons and provide as much information as is possible about the data and its origin and creation.

Originality, plagiarism and acknowledgement of sources
Authors will submit only entirely original works, and will appropriately cite or quote the work and/or words of others. Publications that have been influential in determining the nature of the reported work should also be cited.

Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication
In general, papers describing essentially the same research should not be published in more than one journal. Submitting the same paper to more than one journal at the same time constitutes an unacceptable malpractice.
Manuscripts which have been published as copyrighted material elsewhere cannot be submitted. In addition, manuscripts under review by the journal should not be resubmitted to copyrighted publications. However, by submitting a manuscript, the author(s) retain the rights to the published material. In case of a publication the publisher has the rights for one year. Even after one year it is not allowed to distribute the published pdf-version of the article.

**Authorship of the paper**
Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. All those who have made significant contributions should be listed as co-authors. The corresponding author ensures that all contributing co-authors and no uninvolved persons are included in the author list. The corresponding author will also verify that all co-authors have approved the final version of the paper and have agreed to its submission for publication.

**Disclosure and conflicts of interest**
All authors should include a statement disclosing any financial or other substantive conflicts of interest that may be construed to influence the results or interpretation of their manuscript. All sources of financial support for the project should be disclosed.

**Fundamental errors in published works**
When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published work, it is the author's obligation to promptly notify the journal editor or publisher and to cooperate with the editor to retract or correct the paper in form of an erratum.
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