

Comparative and Private International Law

Essays in Honor of
John Henry Merryman
on his Seventieth Birthday

Edited by

David S. Clark



Duncker & Humblot · Berlin

Comparative and Private International Law



John Henry Merryman

Comparative and Private International Law

**Essays in Honor of
John Henry Merryman
on his Seventieth Birthday**

Edited by

David S. Clark



Duncker & Humblot · Berlin

CIP-Titelaufnahme der Deutschen Bibliothek

**Comparative and private international law: essays in honor of
John Henry Merryman on his seventieth birthday / ed. by
David S. Clark. — Duncker u. Humblot, 1990
ISBN 3-428-06838-6
NE: Clark, David S. [Hrsg.]; Merryman, John Henry: Festschrift**

Alle Rechte, auch die des auszugsweisen Nachdrucks, der fotomechanischen
Wiedergabe und der Übersetzung, für sämtliche Beiträge vorbehalten

© 1990 Duncker & Humblot GmbH, Berlin 41

Druck: Druckerei Gerike GmbH, Berlin 36
Printed in Germany

ISBN 3-428-06838-6

John Henry Merryman Festschrift Committee

Mauro Cappelletti

Lewis Talbot and Nadine Hearn Shelton Professor of International Legal Studies,
Stanford University; Professor of Law, University of Florence.

Sabino Cassese

Professor of Law, University of Rome.

David S. Clark

Professor of Law, The University of Tulsa; Visiting Professor of Law, University of
Colorado (1989).

René David

Honorary Professor at the Faculty of Law and Political Science, University of Aix-
Marseille III (Law, Economics, and Science).

Ulrich Drobnig

Professor of Law, University of Hamburg; Director, Max Planck Institute for Foreign
and International Private Law.

Louis Favoreu

Professor at the Faculty of Law and Political Science, University of Aix-Marseille III
(Law, Economics, and Science); Honorary President of the University.

Héctor Fix-Zamudio

Investigator Emeritus, Institute of Legal Research at the National Autonomous
University of Mexico (UNAM).

John G. Fleming

Shannon Cecil Turner Professor of Law, University of California at Berkeley.

Mary Ann Glendon

Professor of Law, Harvard University.

Gino Gorla

Professor of Comparative Law Emeritus, University of Rome.

Jan Hellner

Professor of Law Emeritus, University of Stockholm.

J. A. Jolowicz

Professor of Comparative Law in the University of Cambridge; Fellow of Trinity
College.

Hein Kötz

Professor of Law, University of Hamburg; Director, Max Planck Institute for Foreign
and International Private Law.

Barry Nicholas

The Principal of Brasenose College, Oxford University.

Preface

The Festschrift Committee takes great pleasure in presenting to our esteemed friend and colleague, John Henry Merryman, this volume of essays. The occasion for celebration is John's seventieth birthday, February 24, 1990.

The authors who have prepared essays for this book are dispersed over four continents and reside in thirteen countries. North to Finland, south to Venezuela, east to Japan, this variety illustrates the wide influence John Merryman has exerted over his long and distinguished career.

The 26 essays in this volume discuss important issues in comparative law and private international law. They are organized into four parts, as shown in the Summary of Contents. Part I considers ways comparative law has developed, especially those ways influenced by the writings of John Henry Merryman. Prime legacies include the idea of the civil law tradition and the use of the concept of legal culture. Part II reveals the diversity of contemporary comparative law studies. Authors draw from several fields in making comparisons of laws and legal institutions: artistic patrimony, codification, contracts, constitutional justice, criminal justice, doctrine in courts, experts in courts, evidence, water law, and trusts. Some show the borrowing or transplantation of ideas about law across the divide of different traditions, which can be characterized by what Gino Gorla calls a civil law-common law dialogue. The full breadth of history is also explored here, from Roman law to the currently unfolding events within socialist countries suggesting a nascent rule of law. Part III looks at developments in western Europe, particularly for judicial review and for public administration, but also in the EEC since the Single European Act — as for product liability — that demonstrate the convergence and integration of legal systems. Part IV focuses on private international law, considering internationalism in this field, transnational bankruptcies, and the return of cultural property expropriated abroad. Part V, in conclusion, lists the publications of John Henry Merryman.

Certain features in this book may help to guide the reader into the interstices of the essays and to their intellectual foundations. First, the Table of Contents provides an outline for each article. This serves as an ersatz index. Second, most articles begin with an asterisk footnote that lists the primary and secondary source material heavily relied upon in that particular article. Other features of citation style are adapted from usages in the *Rabels Zeitschrift für ausländisches und internationales Privatrecht*.

This volume was from the beginning a collaborative effort, most importantly by the members of the organizing Festschrift Committee and by the authors.

The editor would in particular, however, wish to thank Mauro Cappelletti, Ulrich Drobnig, and Hein Kötz for their early encouragement and support. In the production of the book, a great debt of gratitude is owed to the secretarial staff — headed by Ann Hail and assisted by Kathy Cooper and Shirley Ross — and the library staff — especially Kathy Kane, Chuck McKnight, Melanie Nelson, and Katherine Tooley — at The University of Tulsa College of Law. Rudy T. Rivas, a 1990 graduate from the College, helped in editing the Spanish language essays. Finally, Norbert Simon, Helmut Appelt, and their staff at Duncker & Humblot expertly finished the volume. To all, many thanks.

Tulsa, May 1989

David S. Clark

Summary of Contents

Table of Contents	XIII
-------------------------	------

In Honor of John Henry Merryman By <i>Mauro Cappelletti</i>	1
--	---

Part I

John Henry Merryman and Comparative Law

<i>David S. Clark</i> Professor of Law, The University of Tulsa; Visiting Professor of Law, University of Colorado (1989) The Idea of the Civil Law Tradition	11
<i>Héctor Fix-Zamudio</i> Investigator Emeritus, Institute of Legal Research at the National Autonomous University of Mexico (UNAM) John Henry Merryman and the Modernization of Comparative Legal Studies	25
<i>Lawrence M. Friedman</i> Marion Rice Kirkwood Professor of Law, Stanford University Some Thoughts on Comparative Legal Culture	49

Part II

Comparisons of Legal Systems

<i>Hans W. Baade</i> Hugh Lamar Stone Professor of Civil Law, The University of Texas Springs, Creeks, and Groundwater in Nineteenth-Century German Roman-Law Jurisprudence with a Twentieth-Century Postscript	61
<i>Mirjan Damaška</i> Ford Foundation Professor of Law, Yale University Atomistic and Holistic Evaluation of Evidence: A Comparative View	91

Louis Favoreu

Professor at the Faculty of Law and Political Science, University of Aix-Marseille III (Law, Economics, and Science); Honorary President of the University

American and European Models of Constitutional Justice 105

Gino Gorla

Professor of Comparative Law Emeritus, University of Rome

Samuel Livermore (1786–1833): An American Forerunner to the Modern “Civil Law-Common Law Dialogue” 121

Carlos José Gutiérrez

Professor at the University of Costa Rica; Professor of Constitutional Law, University of Costa Rica Law Faculty; Costa Rican Ambassador at the United Nations

La Constitución Norteamericana como Ley Importada en Costa Rica 139

Jan Hellner

Professor of Law Emeritus, University of Stockholm

Interpretation of Contracts under the Influence of Statutory Law 173

Hein Kötz

Professor of Law, University of Hamburg; Director, Max Planck Institute for Foreign and International Private Law

Scholarship and the Courts: A Comparative Survey 183

Dietrich André Loeber

Professor of Law, University of Kiel; Director, Institute for the Study of the Law of Socialist Countries (University of Kiel)

Latvia's 1937 Civil Code: A Quest for Cultural Identity 197

Inga Markovits

Morris and Rita Atlas Family Centennial Professor of Law, The University of Texas

Socialism and the Rule of Law: Some Speculations and Predictions 205

Barry Nicholas

The Principal of Brasenose College, Oxford University

Certainty of Price 247

Rogelio Pérez Perdomo

Professor of Law, Central University of Venezuela School of Law

La justicia penal en la investigación socio-jurídica de América Latina 257

Giovanni Pugliese

Professor of Comparative Law, University of Rome

Ius Honorarium and English Equity 275

Denis Tallon

Professor at the University of Law, Economics and Social Sciences (Paris II);
 Director, Institute of Comparative Law, University of Paris

The Notion of Contract: A French Jurist's Naive Look at Common Law
 Contract 283

Yasuhei Taniguchi

Professor of Law, Kyoto University

Civil Liability of Experts in Court: A Comparative Overview 291

Justin P. Thorens

Professor of Law, University of Geneva: Avocat, Bar Association of Geneva

The Common Law Trust and the Civil Law Lawyer 309

Carlos Viladés

Professor at the University of Barcelona Law Faculty; Abogado, Offices of Ramón
 Viladés – Uria & Menendez

Obras de arte y Patrimonio Histórico en España: Una reforma legislativa
 reciente 317

Part III

The Convergence and Integration of Legal Systems within Europe

George A. Bermann

Professor of Law, Columbia University School of Law; Eason-Weinmann Visiting
 Professor of Comparative Law, Tulane University Law School (1988-1989)

EEC Community-Building under the Single European Act 333

Mauro Cappelletti

Lewis Talbot and Nadine Hearn Shelton Professor of International Legal Studies,
 Stanford University; Professor of Law, University of Florence

Balance of Powers, Human Rights, and Legal Integration: New Challenges for
 European Judges 341

Sabino Cassese

Professor of Law, University of Rome

Toward a European Model of Public Administration 353

J. A. Jolowicz

Professor of Comparative Law in the University of Cambridge; Fellow of Trinity
 College

Product Liability in the EEC 369

Part IV**Private International Law***Heikki Jokela*

Professor Emeritus, University of Helsinki Law Faculty

Internationalism in Private International Law	395
---	-----

Stefan A. Riesenfeld

Emanuel S. Heller Professor of Law Emeritus, University of California at Berkeley (Boalt Hall); Professor of Law, University of California, Hastings College of the Law

Transnational Bankruptcies in the Late Eighties: A Tale of Evolution and Atavism	409
--	-----

Kurt Siehr

Professor of Law, University of Zürich; Research Associate, Max Planck Institute for Foreign and International Private Law

The Return of Cultural Property Expropriated Abroad	431
---	-----

Part V**Bibliography**

List of Publications of John Henry Merryman	445
---	-----

Table of Contents

In Honor of John Henry Merryman By <i>Mauro Cappelletti</i>	1
--	---

Part I

John Henry Merryman and Comparative Law

David S. Clark

The Idea of the Civil Law Tradition	11
I. Origins of the Idea of the Civil Law Tradition	12
II. Legal Tradition versus Legal System	16
III. The Atypicality of France and Germany within the Civil Law Tradition	18
IV. The Western Legal Tradition	21

Héctor Fix-Zamudio

John Henry Merryman and the Modernization of Comparative Legal Studies	25
I. Introduction	25
II. The Concept and Nature of “Comparative Law”	26
III. The Goals of Comparative Law	28
A. Reach an Authentic Scientific Level in Legal Studies	28
B. Increase Understanding of National Law	28
C. Perfect Legal Language	29
D. Promote International Understanding of Law	29
E. Unify or Harmonize Legal Systems	29
F. Understand Legal Systems as Dynamic	30
IV. The Extension of Comparative Law	30
V. Comparative Law and National Law	31
VI. Comparative Law and Foreign Law	34
VII. Legal Nationalism and Foreign Law Borrowing	35
VIII. The Traditional and Contemporary Teaching of Comparative Law	36

IX. The Influence of John Henry Merryman's Modernizing Ideas	40
X. The Need to Update Comparative Legal Studies and Teaching in the Mexican Legal System	42
XI. Conclusions	45

Lawrence M. Friedman

Some Thoughts on Comparative Legal Culture	49
I. Standard Comparative Law	49
II. Classification of Legal Systems	50
III. Comparative Legal Culture	52
IV. A Concrete Example	55

Part II**Comparisons of Legal Systems***Hans W. Baade*

Springs, Creeks, and Groundwater in Nineteenth-Century German Roman-Law Jurisprudence with a Twentieth-Century Postscript	61
I. Introduction	61
II. The Nineteenth-Century Decisions	65
A. Source and Scope	65
B. Guiding Principles: Public and Private Waters	66
C. Servitudes	66
D. Riparian Rights to Public Waters	70
III. The Millers Go to Court	72
A. Four Cases	72
B. Summary	76
C. The Usus Modernus	77
D. Questions of Policy	80
IV. "Vested" Water Rights and Modern Ground Water Management	82
A. Civil-Law Codification	82
B. "Vested" Rights and Twentieth-Century Water Management	83
C. The Federal Constitutional Court Speaks	84
V. Conclusion	87

Mirjan Damaška

Atomistic and Holistic Evaluation of Evidence: a Comparative View	91
I. Atomistic and Holistic Tendencies Surveyed	92
A. Anglo-American Systems	92
B. Continental Systems	94
II. Sources of Atomistic and Holistic Tendencies	98
A. Organization of Adjudicatory Authority	98
B. Differing Objectives of Justice	99
III. Anglo-American and Continental Systems Revisited	101

Louis Favoreu

American and European Models of Constitutional Justice	105
I. Introduction	105
II. Why Europe Did Not Adopt the American System	106
A. Substantive Factors	107
B. Institutional Factors	109
C. A Political Factor	110
D. The Situation after World War II	110
III. How the European Model Differs from the American Model	111
A. Separation of Constitutional Litigation from Ordinary Litigation	111
B. Jurisdictional Monopoly in a Constitutional Court	111
C. Constitutional Court Uniqueness	111
D. Referral to a Constitutional Court	112
E. The Nature of Constitutional Litigation	113
F. Effects of Constitutional Court Decisions	114
IV. Common Elements in the Two Models	115
A. The United States Supreme Court and Constitutional Courts	115
B. Less Obvious Common Elements	117
V. Conclusion	119

Gino Gorla

Samuel Livermore (1786-1833): An American Forerunner to the Modern “Civil Law-Common Law Dialogue”	121
I. The Civil Law-Common Law Dialogue	121
A. Introduction	121
B. “Diritto comparato delle differenze” and “Diritto comparato delle concordanze” during the Twentieth Century	122

C. “Diritto comparato delle concordanze” during the Formative Era of American Law	123
D. The Anglo-Scottish-American Comparatists	124
II. Samuel Livermore	125
A. A Short Biography	125
B. Livermore’s Library	127
III. Livermore’s Attitudes as Reflected in His Works	128
A. An Overview	128
B. Provide Order and System to American Case Law with Textbooks ..	129
C. Use of a Scholarly Style	130
D. Use of Comparisons with the Civil Law	131
E. Use of the Ius Commune	132
IV. Livermore’s Influence	134
A. The Fortunes of Livermore’s Books	134
B. Livermore’s Success in Court: Whiston v. Stodder	135
V. The History of Comparative Law of Concordances and the “Civil Law Tradition”	137

Carlos José Gutiérrez

La Constitución Norteamericana como Ley Importada en Costa Rica	139
I. Introducción	139
II. El Régimen Presidencial	142
A. El Modelo	142
B. Las Alternativas Iniciales Latinoamericanas	144
C. La Situación Costarricense	146
CH. Conclusiones	152
III. El Control de Constitucionalidad	153
A. La Gran Invención	153
B. La Evolución Costarricense en las Disposiciones Constitucionales ..	156
C. La Jurisdicción Compartida entre los Tres Poderes	157
CH. Actuaciones Judiciales	160
D. El Sistema Actual	164
E. Evaluación del Sistema	166
IV. Las Constituciones en Derecho Comparado	168
English Summary: The Constitution of the United States as Imported Law in Costa Rica	170

Jan Hellner

Interpretation of Contracts under the Influence of Statutory Law	173
I. The Use of Statutory Rules	174
II. Interpretation of Special Clauses	174
III. Facultative Rules	176
IV. Mandatory Rules	181
V. Conclusion	182

Hein Kötz

Scholarship and the Courts: A Comparative Survey	183
I. Introduction	183
II. Legal Scholarship in French Courts	185
III. Legal Scholarship in British Courts	187
IV. Legal Scholarship in American Courts	190
V. Legal Scholarship in German Courts	193
VI. The Need for Further Research	194

Dietrich André Loeber

Latvia's 1937 Civil Code: A Quest for Cultural Identity	197
I. Significance of the Latvian Civil Code	198
II. The Civil Code as a Reform Act	199
III. History of Drafting the Civil Code	200
IV. The Civil Code of Latvia Today	202

Inga Markovits

Socialism and the Rule of Law: Some Speculations and Predictions	205
I. Socialist Administrative Legality: Past and Present	206
II. Capitalist Administrative Legality: Ideological Implications of Our System of Judicial Review	210
A. The Protection of Private Interests	210
B. The Individual against the State	211
C. Blurring the Public/Private Distinction	213
III. Capitalist Reservations about Judicial Review: When Do We Limit Court Supervision	216
A. Citizens in Close Affiliation with Specific State Institutions	217
B. Complex Planning Decisions	219
C. Children and Welfare Clients	221

XVIII

Table of Contents

IV. Obstacles to the Success of Judicial Review Procedures in Socialist Countries	223
A. First Obstacle: The Socialist State	224
B. Second Obstacle: Socialist Judiciaries	228
C. Third Obstacle: Socialist Citizens	232
V. Outlook	238
A. The Future of Socialist Law Reform	238
B. The Limits of Law Reform	243
C. Postscript	245
<i>Barry Nicholas</i>	
Certainty of Price	247
I. The U.N. Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods	247
II. The Roman Rule	248
III. Modern National Views	250
IV. Conclusion	255
<i>Rogelio Pérez Perdomo</i>	
La justicia penal en la investigación socio-jurídica de América Latina	257
I. Introducción	257
II. El proceso penal: duración y disfunciones	259
III. Justicia penal y desigualdad social	265
IV. Justicia penal y represión política	267
V. Un balance	270
English Summary: Criminal Justice in Latin American Sociolegal Research ..	272
<i>Giovanni Pugliese</i>	
Ius Honorarium and English Equity	275
I. Ius Honorarium and Equity in Legal History	275
II. The Distinctiveness of the Two Bodies of Rules	277
III. The Powers of the Praetor and the Chancellor	278
IV. Social Forces Influencing the Two Bodies of Rules	279
V. Similarities and Differences between Ius Honorarium and Equity	280
VI. Dualism and Merger	281
<i>Denis Tallon</i>	
The Notion of Contract: A French Jurist's Naive Look at Common Law Contract	283

Table of Contents

XIX

I. Uncertain Frontiers: What is a Contract?	284
A. The Rigid Framework of French Law	284
B. The Nebula of the Common Law	285
II. Ambiguous Contents: Contract as a Promise	286
A. Contract and Promise	287
B. The Consequences	288
III. Conclusion	290

Yasuhei Taniguchi

Civil Liability of Experts in Court: A Comparative Overview	291
I. Introduction	291
II. The French Expert	292
A. The Negligent Conduct of Expertise	294
B. Error in the Expert's Report	295
III. The German Expert	296
IV. The American Expert	301
V. The Japanese Expert	304
VI. Conclusion	307

Justin P. Thorens

The Common Law Trust and the Civil Law Lawyer	309
I. Introduction	309
II. Basic Common Law Property Principles	310
A. No Clear Distinction between Real Rights and Personal Rights	310
B. Rejection of a Numerus Clausus for Real Rights	310
C. Fragmentation of Property Ownership	310
D. Tenure	311
E. Estate	311
III. Trust Characteristics from a Civilian Point of View	314
IV. Conclusion	315

Carlos Viladós

Obras de arte y Patrimonio Histórico en España: Una reforma legislativa reciente	317
I. Dos casos ilustrativos de la situación anterior a la reforma	317
A. “Viaje a la luna en el fondo del mar”	317
B. “La Marquesa de Santa Cruz”	318

C. El marco legal español	318
II. La Ley del Patrimonio Histórico Español	319
A. El mandato constitucional	320
B. El Patrimonio Histórico Español	321
C. Medidas de tutela y protección	321
D. Algunas medidas de especial interés	322
E. Incentivos fiscales	323
F. Propiedad privada versus interés público	324
G. Distribución de competencias en materia de Patrimonio Histórico ..	327
English Summary: Works of Art and the Historical Patrimony in Spain: A Recent Legislative Reform	328

Part III

The Convergence and Integration of Legal Systems within Europe

George A. Bermann

EEC Community-Building under the Single European Act	333
--	-----

Mauro Cappelletti

Balance of Powers, Human Rights, and Legal Integration: New Challenges for European Judges	341
I. The Expansion of European Judicial Review of Legislation	341
II. National and Transnational Developments in Europe	345
A. National Constitutional Tribunals	345
B. Transnational European Judicial Review	347
III. A Judicial Review Revolution in Europe	352

Sabino Cassese

Toward a European Model of Public Administration	353
I. The Possibility of Comparing Different Administrative Systems	353
II. The Origins of Italian Public Administration	354
III. The French-Napoleonic Administrative Model in Italy	355
A. Democratic or Oligarchic Foundations	356
B. The Adoption of Droit Administratif	357
C. Administrative Uniformity	358
D. The Administrative Elite	359
E. The Council of State	359

F. Prefects	360
G. The Efficacy of Legal Transplants	361
IV. The Convergence of Administrative Systems in Europe	363
A. Democracy and Social Demands	363
B. Droit Administratif and English Administrative Law	364
C. Multi-Organizational Public Administration	364
D. General Administrative Procedure Laws	365
E. The Finance Sector's Leading Role	366
F. The Underlying Causes of Convergence	367

J. A. Jolowicz

Product Liability in the EEC	369
I. Introduction	369
II. A Liability for Producing or a Liability for Selling?	370
A. Product Liability as Liability for Producing	370
B. Product Liability as Liability for Selling	373
III. The Proposal for a Directive	377
IV. The Directive	379
V. The Effect of the Directive	381
A. The Survival of National Law	381
B. Implementation of the Directive	382
VI. Conclusion	388

Part IV**Private International Law***Heikki Jokela*

Internationalism in Private International Law	395
I. Doctrinal Antecedents	395
II. Recent Developments: The New Factors	397
III. International Instruments as a Manifestation of Universal Legal Policy ..	399
A. Uniform Laws	399
B. Establishment of Legal Standards	402
C. Legal Aspects of International Instruments	405
IV. Conclusions	406

Stefan A. Riesenfeld

Transnational Bankruptcies in the Late Eighties: A Tale of Evolution and Atavism	409
I. France: Société Kléber c. société anonyme de droit danois Friis Hansen et autre	410
II. Germany: P.N. KG u. a. v. RA O	413
III. United Kingdom: Felixstowe Dock and Railway Co. v. U.S. Lines, Inc. 415	
IV. Recent Developments in United States Law Governing Transnational Bankruptcy	418
V. Conclusion	428

Kurt Siehr

The Return of Cultural Property Expropriated Abroad	431
I. The Fact Situation	431
A. The East German Background and Case History	431
B. West German Court Procedure	432
II. Legal Problems	432
A. Conflict of Laws Issues	433
B. The East German Transaction	433
C. West German Public Policy	434
D. East German Law on Bona Fide Purchases	435
E. The Property Claim under the West German Civil Code	436
III. Critical Remarks and Comments	436
A. A New Specimen of Art Trade	436
B. The Clash of Different Policies	436
C. Taxation and Expropriation	437
D. Bona Fide Purchases	439
IV. Review by the Bundesgerichtshof	440
V. Conclusion	441

Part V**Bibliography**

List of Publications of John Henry Merryman	445
---	-----

In Honor of John Henry Merryman

Mauro Cappelletti*

Render therefore to all their dues: tribute
to whom tribute is due; . . . honour to
whom honour.

Romans 13:7

Writing about Professor John Henry Merryman means for me, first of all, to write about a collaboration that started over a quarter of a century ago, when we first met in Florence. The Law School of the University of Florence had just offered me the chair in comparative law, at that time the only such chair in the School (there are now five) and one of the then only two or three such chairs in the whole country (there are now dozens). In his turn, Merryman, as he wrote to me in a letter dated August 21, 1962, a few months after we first met, was just “beginning comparative work” at that time.

It was indeed a case of love at first sight! He had already spent several weeks in Florence, but his contacts had been limited to some colleagues whom I used to define as “thin-air thinkers”—speculating about law as “pure norm,” applying a typically anti-realistic, if not pre-realistic approach. That was old and, at times, irritating stuff to me; it was simply incomprehensible to John. We met almost by chance, on the eve of his return to Stanford. Nancy and John, Mimma and myself dined in a typical small restaurant next to Ponte Vecchio. There, the magic encounter. He discovered that there existed after all a younger generation of Italian students of the law who were eager to repudiate and fight against certain features of the “Italian style” (and not only Italian, as I shall indicate in a moment): its reliance on statutory law as the only relevant source of the law; its “Montesquieuian” conception of the interpretive function (judicial and otherwise) as being a purely passive, noncreative, essentially mechanical reading and application of that law; its glorification of the normative element as the only factor worthy of study and teaching by the legal scholar—the “legal scientist”—leaving to “nonscientific sociology” or “politology” everything else. Thus, there was no room for the study of the producers as well as the consumers of law

* Lewis Talbot and Nadine Hearn Shelton Professor of International Legal Studies, Stanford University; Professor of Law, University of Florence.

Copyright 1987 by the Board of Trustees of the Leland Stanford Junior University. Reprinted by permission of the Stanford Law Review and the Fred B. Rothman Company. This essay, with a few modifications, first appeared as John Henry Merryman the Comparativist: *Stan. L. Rev.* 39 (1987) 1079-86.

and justice, of the processes and institutions, and, generally, of the themes and problems concerning the relationship between law and society.

My youthful fury against this approach prevailing in the “legal academe” of Italy—but also, to a large extent, of other countries of Continental Europe and Latin America, that is, of the civil law world—met a sympathetic reception from that Stanford law professor in his early forties, imbued with American realism. He lent legitimacy to my reaction; also, and most importantly, he gave to it a dialectic expression and a cultural background. In turn, as I was soon to learn, my own fight had its counterpart in John’s attempt to open up to transnational and comparative interests his own school, Stanford Law School, which in precisely those years was successfully struggling to rise to the level of one of the greatest schools in the United States and the world.

It was that night, in the Buca dell’Orafo restaurant, that our monograph, *The Italian Legal System*, in which Joseph M. Perillo also was to participate, was first conceived—as a critical analysis of the Italian system as it really is, not as it appears in the books. And, of course, the method to be used, explicitly or at least implicitly, was that of comparative analysis. This meant that much of what was to be said had to be seen in the light of problems shared by both Italy and the United States.

John and I were perfectly aware of the fact that, although a country’s legal system is an essential part of its cultural heritage—indeed a fundamental expression of the country’s political and social philosophy—the study and teaching of the law in national universities tended to be too rigidly nationalistic and narrowly norm-oriented—or, as was the case for the United States, “judicial doctrine oriented”—largely neglecting the sociological, economic, political, and more generally functional, cultural, and humanistic aspects of law and the legal system. We were also convinced that comparative analysis had an important role to play in counteracting that tendency, for it is a highly effective means of arriving at a perception of the purposes and functions of law within a society.

Our book was not to be a detached, “neutral” description of data; rather, it was to be a battlecry for reform in thinking about and teaching the law. To be sure, the immediate targets of our criticism were to be the many obsolete elements of a system, the Italian, which was still very deeply rooted in and marked by the characteristics of an agricultural, pre-industrial, nonegalitarian, indeed essentially nonliberal society, even though such features were in stark contrast with a modern, civil-libertarian, socially minded Constitution that had just emerged from the ruins of dictatorship and war. (It should be remembered that, although the Constitution has been formally in force since 1948, it is only since 1956 that Italy has had an effective system of adjudication to enforce the Constitution, and, most particularly, the Constitution’s liberal bill of rights.) Beyond such immediate targets, however, was the felt need for an overall attack against the traditionalism, the dogmatism, the sheer normativism or doctrinalism of the method of legal education then prevailing in Italy—a method not

without impact, albeit under different aspects, in America as well. Thus, John's choice of Italy as the focus for "beginning work in comparative law" was amply justified: for, on the one hand, those features of legal education were particularly accentuated in that country; on the other hand, Italy represented a typical case of a juxtaposition of "conflicting legal systems within a legal system"—the modern Constitution against the resistance of a sticky body of pre-constitutional law, approaches, and institutions.

There were, however, other very good reasons for John's choice. One of his great and lasting merits as a master comparativist has been his prompt understanding of the fact that, even in those rare American law schools then already offering some courses on comparative law, there was a gap, and indeed perhaps a basic error, in their usual approach to the study and teaching of that law. With one or two exceptions mainly limited to the law of the socialist countries (or some of them), comparative law teaching was not only Eurocentric, but centered exclusively on France or Germany, or both, as if those countries were adequately representative of the civil law tradition. As John and others have by now amply demonstrated, this was mistaken both historically and culturally.

It was mistaken because most of the creative eras of the civil law tradition found their focal point in the Mediterranean peninsula: Roman law with its grandiose millennium of evolution and proliferation; the renaissance of Roman law with the *jus commune* of the late Middle Ages; the *jus canonicum*; the *jus mercatorium* developed by the practices and institutions of the merchants; and, last but not least, the powerful integrational impact of teaching and learning in the universities modeled after the Bolognese Law School archetype of the Glossators and Commentators. A basic feature of all these layers of the civilian tradition was their "universal" character: Roman law, *jus commune*, *jus canonicum*, *jus mercatorium*, and university teaching all affirmed themselves as of universal, and not purely local or national, validity. It was only with the emergence of the nation states that law was conceived, more and more, as the legal order of a particular nation, and the state was seen as, essentially, the sole, omnipotent, arbitrary producer of that "positive law." This gradual transformation of the concepts of law and the legal order found its early proponents in the late fifteenth and sixteenth centuries in some of the humanists as well as the first theoreticians of the idea of the nation state—led by such towering Italian, indeed Florentine, figures as Politian (the master humanist) and Machiavelli, the founder of the modern theory of the state, "the philosopher who had broken away from all scholastic methods and tried to study politics according to empirical methods."¹

There has been, of course, a further layer in the civil law tradition, one that was anticipated by the humanists and the early politologists, but which found its

¹ Ernst Cassirer, *The Myth of the State* (1946) 119.